

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,423	10/31/2001	Glen A. Oross	10018773-1	9718
	7590 . 06/12/2007 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN	
P.O. Box 27240	: -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/000,423	OROSS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary C. Hoge	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	March 2007.					
,	<i>,</i> —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,11-13,22-24,33,3</u> 5) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,14-21,25-31 and 35-38</u> is/are reference. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,32 and 39</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction.</u>	3 <u>4,40 and 41</u> is/are withdravele	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	Examinor, troto tiro dilabile					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9, 11-13, 22-24, 33, 34, 40 and 41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 25, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-8, 14-20, 25, 27-29, 31, 35, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by de Casillas (6,347,232).

Art Unit: 3611

de Casillas discloses a portable computer in the form of a cellular phone¹; and a deployable label base **62** attached to the portable computer and configured to display labels associated with the portable computer, wherein the deployable label base is configured for movement between a first position and a second position, the labels being viewable in the first position and in the second position at least some of the labels are slid into the portable computer, thereby concealing them from view.

Regarding claims 2, 7 and 15, the label base disclosed by de Casillas has two sides. The recitation that the second side is "to display one or more of the labels" is merely a statement of intended use and does not define over the art.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 16 and 17, the label base disclosed by de Casillas can display any kind of label.

Regarding claims 5-7, 18 and 19, see Fig. 12.

Regarding claim 25, the handwritten text constitutes a label, and therefore, the act of writing the text constitutes the step of "attaching labels".

Regarding claims 27, 28, 37 and 38, de Casillas inherently includes writing any desired information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

¹ Cellular phones have microprocessors and therefore constitute computers.

Art Unit: 3611

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 21, 26, 30 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de Casillas (6,347,232).

Regarding claim 21, de Casillas discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, it is not known whether the label base is flexible. Because it is within the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art to select from among known materials on the basis of their suitability for the fabrication of a given device, and since a person having ordinary skill in the art would know that a flexible plastic material would be suitable for the fabrication of a label base, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the label base disclosed by de Casillas from a flexible plastic material as a matter of choice in design, based on such factors as cost and availability of the materials to the designer.

Regarding claims 26, 30 and 36, de Casillas only discloses writing on one side of the label base. However, it is well known to write on both sides of a writable surface, in order to maximize the amount of recorded information. It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3611

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to write on both sides of the label base disclosed by de Casillas in order to maximize the amount of recorded information.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10, 32 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C. Hoge whose telephone number is (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary C Hoge/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 6

gch